

U.S. Department of Transportation

Research and Special Programs Administration

FFR 1 0 2000

Ms. Joan N. McNamara
Deputy City Attorney
City of San Diego
1200 Third Avenue, Suite 700
San Diego, California 92101-4106

Dear Ms. McNamara:

This is in response to your letter requesting clarification on the provisions for transportation of Materials of Trade (MOTs) under the Hazardous Materials Regulations (HMR; 49 CFR Parts 171-180). Specifically you ask whether a company, using its own vehicle, may deliver a product under the provisions of § 173.6. You state that a misunderstanding exists due to the fact that we have authorized door-to-door salespeople to take advantage of the MOTs exception.

Your understanding is correct that door-to-door salespeople may utilize the MOTs exception. However, a company that routinely transports and delivers a product to a customer may not take advantage of this exception. The MOTs exception is intended to provide relief to persons who transport hazardous materials in "direct support of their business" not to companies that deliver product.

I hope this information is helpful.

Sincerely,

Delmer F. Billings

Chief, Standards Development

Office of Hazardous Materials Standards

990208

13.6

400 Seventh Street, S.W.

Ref. No: 99-0208

Washington, D.C. 20590

LESLIE E. DEVANEY ANITA M. NOONE LESLIE J. GIRARD SUSAN M. HEATH GAEL B. STRACK ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEYS OFFICE OF

## THE CITY ATTORNEY CITY OF SAN DIEGO

Casey Gwinn

CITY ATTORNEY

8113.6

CRIMINAL DIVISION
CONSUMER AND ENVIRONMENTAL
PROTECTION UNIT
1200 THIRD AVENUE, SUITE 700
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101-4106
TELEPHONE (619) 533-5500
FAX (619) 533-5504

July 20, 1999

Mr. Edward T. Mazzullo, Director of OHMS Office of Hazardous Materials Standards United States DOT/RSPA (DHM-10) 400 - 7th Street SW Washington, DC 20590-0001

Dear Mr. Mazzullo:

## Materials of Trade Exception

Recently a case was submitted to our office with the following facts. A company, using their own vehicle, was delivering to a customer sixty buckets of a product labeled corrosive. Although each bucket weighed twenty-two pounds, each bucket was a combination package which contained only 1.3 pounds of corrosive material (UN 2735). Therefore, they were transporting approximately seventy-eight pounds of corrosives. The product had not been reclassified as ORM-D. The shipping papers did not identify the product as hazardous material.

The company argued they were entitled to the materials of trade exception because their "principal business" was selling products and solutions, not transportation. Among other things, the company sells and distributes bearings, mechanical and electrical drive system products, industrial rubber products and maintenance and specialty repair items (manufactured by others). They are described as wholesale trade - industrial suppliers.

The company relied on an April 4, 1997, DOT opinion letter to Degussa Corporation which expressly states that salespeople are entitled to the materials of trade exception. They further relied on the preamble to the materials of trade regulation which expressly states that door-to-door salesmen of consumer goods are entitled to the exception.

Here, to our knowledge, the company does not sell door-to-door. However, customers do order products from them which are delivered using a company owned vehicle. We request that

you provide an opinion as to whether the materials of trade exception would apply to the delivery of hazardous materials as described above. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely yours,

CASEY GWINN, City Attorney

Ву

Deputy City Attorney

JNM:mt